

Page 1 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# **Turtle Rock III**

# **Pavement Field Assessment**

October 2015



Page 2 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

### Field assessment

Evaluation of existing pavement Including maintenance and replacement cost projections

### **Client**

**Turtle Rock III HOA** 

### <u>Jobsite</u>

**Turtle Rock III** 1008 E Michigan Ave Phoenix, AZ 85022

### **Contact**

Gregory Wahl

Tel: 602-569-9890 Email: gjwahl@cox.net

### **Project consultant**

Clay Jordan
Director at ProConsult
Pavement Project Consultant
Quality Assurance Inspector

Tel: 602-541-2403

Email: proconsultaz@outlook.com

### Field assessment topics & conclusions

The **Field Assessment – Inspection Items** listed below are standard inspection points established through the Asphalt Institute's Pavement Distress Summary. The presence or absence of these common items provides an indepth insight into the condition of existing pavement, base, and subgrade, as well as any previously completed installation or repair work.

# **Summary**

Extreme fatigue cracking throughout the property suggests existing base/subgrade issues which can only be addressed through partial or complete removal and replacement. The wider cracks and sunken utilities constitute trip hazard liabilities and will require immediate attention.



Page 3 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Total pavement surface area

- Approximately 75,922 square feet





Page 4 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

### Field Assessment - Inspection Items

## **Traffic volume damage**

Caused by site specific traffic volume ranging from standard passenger vehicles to heavy commercial and municipal trucks and buses. May apply to all or limited sections of the roadways

- a) Status: Present in limited areas
- b) Severity: Low
- Recommendation: Where applicable, require agencies, jurisdictions or entities imparting significant heavy loading to pay into a fund to offset the cost associated with the inevitable accelerated pavement deterioration

### Water damage

Caused by overspray or runoff from improperly adjusted water systems and standing water due to improper drainage

- d) Status: Present throughout the property due to improper drainage
- e) Severity: High
- f) Recommendation: Reduce runoff, raise pavement surface 1/4" above concrete curbing

### **Existing patch failures**

Caused by improper installation techniques, inadequate compaction, improper materials, failure of surrounding or underlying pavement

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Semi-annual preventative walkthrough inspections

# **Existing overlay failures**

Caused by improper installation techniques, thin overlay, inadequate compaction, improper materials, failure of surrounding or underlying pavement

- a) Status: Present in limited areas
- b) Severity: Low
- c) Recommendation: Full-depth removal of specified areas and 3" replacement with hot asphaltic concrete

### **Potholes**

Caused by poor surface mix, base/subgrade deficiencies, poor drainage, chemical and oil residue

- a) Status: Present in limited areas. Small, shallow, 1st-stage
- b) Severity: Medium due to location and depth creating trip hazard liabilities
- c) Recommendation: Full-depth removal of specified areas and 3" replacement with hot asphaltic concrete



### Raveling/weathering

Page 5 of 20

Caused by poor compaction or improper temperature during pavement installation, lack of protective sealcoat, frequent exposure to water

Saturday, October 3, 2015

- a) Status: Present in limited areas
- b) Severity: Low
- c) Recommendation: Clean and remove raveling surface fines and apply surface coat

# Reflection cracking

## Caused by reflection of cracks from original surface below top layers

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Semi-annual preventative walkthrough inspections

#### Fatigue cracking

### Caused by excessive loading, thin surface, base/subgrade deficiencies, poor drainage

- a) Status: Present throughout property
- b) Severity: High, large areas of severe cracking with spalled edges
- c) Recommendation: Full-depth removal of specified areas and 3" replacement with hot asphaltic concrete

### Longitudinal and transverse cracking

## Caused by poorly constructed joint cracks, shrinkage of asphalt layer, operation of paver

- a) Status: Present throughout property
- b) Severity: High, 1" and 2" in width, spalled edges
- c) Recommendation: Treatment of cracks outside of fatigued areas with hot-applied sealant

#### **Edge cracks**

# Caused by lack of lateral support, thinning of pavement near edges

- a) Status: See "Settlement/grade depressions" below
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Full-depth removal of specified areas and 3" replacement with hot asphaltic concrete

### Slippage cracks

# Caused by lack of efficient bond between top layer and original surface, tack coat was not used before installation, asphaltic concrete mix had a high sand content

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Semi-annual preventative walkthrough inspections

### **Corrugations & shoving**

# Caused by mixtures too high in asphalt, fine aggregate content too high, incorrect asphalt grade

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Semi-annual preventative walkthrough inspections



### Settlement/grade depressions

### Caused by settlement or failure in the lower pavement layers, base/subgrade deficiencies

- a) Status: Present throughout property along roadway edges
- b) Severity: High, due to quantity, 1/2" to 1" in depth, retaining water
- c) Recommendation: Full-depth removal of specified areas and 3" replacement with hot asphaltic concrete

Saturday, October 3, 2015

## <u>Rutting</u>

Page 6 of 20

# Caused by consolidation or lateral movement of pavement or subgrade under traffic, moisture infiltration, improper base/subgrade material and compaction

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Semi-annual preventative walkthrough inspections

#### Lane/shoulder drop-off

# Caused by erosion of the pavement lane edge, settlement of the shoulder, or by building up the roadway without adjusting the shoulder level

- a) Status: n/ab) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: n/a

#### Bleeding

### Caused by too much liquid asphalt in mix, too heavy bond/tack coat, improper sealcoat

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Quality assurance inspections and controls for future paving to confirm mix design

# Peeling & flaking

### Caused by buildup of surface treatment products in low-traffic areas

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Detailed specifications for future surface treatment projects to avoid product build-up. Semi-annual preventative walkthrough inspections

## Longitudinal/transverse sealcoat streaking

# Caused by improper spray bar height, nozzle issues, inconsistent application

- a) Status: None present
- b) Severity: n/a
- c) Recommendation: Define proper application methods and rates for future sealcoating projects

# **Sunken utilities**

# Caused by failure to adjust height of existing utilities to match surface treatment grade and level

- a) Status: Present in limited areas
- b) Severity: Medium, due to trip hazard liability
- c) Recommendation: Height adjustments after any grade or elevation changes



r avenient i roject consulting services

Page 7 of 20 Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Oil & chemical residue

## Caused by runoff from existing structures, leaking vehicles, spillage

- a) Status: Present in limited areas
- b) Severity: Low
- c) Recommendation: Thorough cleaning followed by treatment with poly bonding material prior to sealcoating



Page 8 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# **Traffic volume damage**





Page 9 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Water damage





Page 10 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# **Existing overlay failures**





Page 11 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# **Potholes**





Page 12 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Raveling/weathering





Page 13 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Fatigue cracking





Page 14 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Longitudinal and transverse cracking





Page 15 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# **Edge cracks**





Page 16 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Settlement/grade depressions





Page 17 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Sunken utilities





Page 18 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

# Oil or chemical residue



## Cost projections in current dollar value

The contributions made to the pavement reserve fund are a means to supply for the ongoing maintenance of the pavement, as well as to build funds for the eventual replacement. Since asphalt surfaces deteriorate at varying rates and the finances of the property are typically changing on an annual basis, the need to maintain balance between the two is an ongoing process. To maintain this balance, periodic updates to the cost analysis are recommended. Annual updates may be warranted depending on the age of the property and the amount of repair or replacement activity.

# Option 1 - Delay removal till 2027

Year	Project Scope	Projected Budget
2015	Asphalt repair, cracks, sealcoat	\$ 67,800
2019	Asphalt repair, cracks, sealcoat	\$ 38,000
2023	Cracks, sealcoat	\$ 12,800
2027	Complete removal and replacement	\$ 200,000
2028	Sealcoat (12 months after installation)	\$ 8,000
2032	Asphalt repair, cracks, sealcoat	\$ 15,200

# Option 2 - 2015 removal with scheduled maintenance program

Year	Project Scope	Projected Budget
2015	Complete removal and replacement	\$ 200,000
2016	Sealcoat (12 months after installation)	\$ 8,000
2020	Asphalt repair, cracks, sealcoat	\$ 15,200
2024	Asphalt repair, cracks, sealcoat	\$ 19,800
2028	Asphalt repair, cracks, sealcoat	\$ 24,000
2032	Asphalt repair, cracks, sealcoat	\$ 30,300



Page 20 of 20

Saturday, October 3, 2015

### **General Assumptions**

### Several general assumptions have been made for the completion of this report:

- The pavement will eventually be replaced with like kind unless otherwise noted or directed by a representative of the property to use alternate materials
- b) All new installations will comply with current city, state and local construction code requirements
- A maintenance program will be implemented to ensure that the pavement will be regularly maintained and repaired
- d) The projected costs are at current value and will require recalculation on a yearly basis to include the rate of inflation and changes in the industry

## **Expert Analysis Terms & Conditions**

This study and report is based on observations of the visible and apparent conditions of a reasonable observation and evaluation of the property's pavement at the time of inspection. Although due diligence was performed during the inspection phase, ProConsult makes no representations regarding latent or concealed defects that may exist. Judgments in this study are based on estimates of the age and typical useful life of the pavement surface. The predictions of useful life and remaining useful life are based on industry and/or statistical comparisons.

The methods of installation, deferral of maintenance, or other unforeseen conditions make it virtually impossible to predict precisely when the pavement will require major repair or replacement. If the property representative has not disclosed any known issues or problems with materials, components, or systems, it is noted that the validity of this study may be impacted.

This report is intended solely for the use of the client and job site specified on page one and may not be used by any other party for any purpose.

This analysis does not purport to all of the safety and liability concerns, if any, associated with this property. It is the responsibility of the client to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use of this report.